

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,431	10/15/2003	Gurtej S. Sandhu	M4065.0316/P316-A	3910
24998	7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MORIN &	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G		
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2812	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/684,431	SANDHU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander G. Ghyka	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-43</u> is/are rejected.		PRIMARY EXAMINER				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	Auren				
Application Papers		Why yhfa				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		V				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
\ttachmont(c)						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai (US Patent No. 5,981, 398) in view of Fiordalice et al (US 5,534,462) and Miller (US Patent No. 4,722,913)

Tsai discloses a method for forming a patterned layer in microelectronic fabrication. Blanket target layer 12 is formed on substrate 10. Blanket silicon oxide layer 13 is formed over layer 12 and serves as an antireflective coating. The ARC layer is preferably formed from about 300-1000 angstroms (column 6, lines 30-44). Blanket hard mask layer 14 is then formed over ARC layer 13. Hard mask layer 14 is preferably formed from a sisequioxane spin-on-glass (SOG) material (the claimed layer which is transparent to the wavelength of light) at a thickness of about 2000-4000 angstroms (column 7, lines 23-26). Patterned photoresist layers 16a, 16b and 16c are formed preferably using DUV light (248 nm) and then used as an etch mark to pattern the underlying layers (column 8, 10-44).

However Tsai does not disclose using BPSG, PSG or TEOS as the transparent layer or providing a silicon oxide layer over a surface of the substrate.

Fiordalice teaches that plasma enhanced oxide, BPSG, PSG, TEOS and SOG are all known silicon oxide based dielectric equivalents conventionally used in the art for interlayer dielectric materials. See column 1, lines 42-45 and column 4, lines 27-29.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use BPSG, PSG or TEOS as the transparent layer in the method of Tsai, instead of the SOG as taught by Tsai, because Fiordalice teaches that all are silicon oxide based equivalents which are conventionally used as interlayer dielectric materials.

While Tsai does not disclose that a silicon oxide layer is provided over a surface of the substrate, the reference does teach that the substrate may have formed thereon additional microelectronics layers which are conventional in the art, such as microelectronic dielectric layers (column 5, lines 19-45). Miller teaches that it is conventional in the art to provide an insulating film over a semiconductor substrate in order to insulate the substrate devices from the overlying metal layers and that typically this insulating layer is silicon oxide (column 1, lines 56-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a silicon oxide layer (as a microelectronics dielectric layer) over the substrate in the method of Tsai because Miller teaches that it is known in the art to provide an insulating layer of silicon oxide over a substrate for the benefit of insulating the substrate and its devices from the overlying metal layers.

Art Unit: 2812

Claims 21-24, 26, 28-31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai in view of Fiordalice and Miller as applied to claim 13-17 and 19 above, and further in view of Lin (US 6,210,856).

Tsai, Fiordalice and Miller are relied upon as discussed above.

Tsai discloses exposing the photoresist to DUV (248 nm) but does not disclose exposing the photoresist to light at a wavelength of 193 or 365 nm.

Lin teaches that in addition to DUV (248 nm), mid-UV (365 nm) and extreme UV (193 nm) are also conventional radiation sources used in the art (column 7, lines 41-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to expose the photoresist in the method of Tsai in view of Fiordalice and Miller to light at a wavelength of 193 nm or 365 nm, instead of 248 nm, for their known benefit as conventional radiation sources used to expose photoresist in the art as disclosed by the Lin reference. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is established.

Claims 18, 20, 25, 27, 32, 34-35 and 37-43 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai in view of Fiordalice, Miller and Lin as applied to claim 13-17, 19, 21-24, 26, 28-31 and 36 above, and further in view of Jain et al. (US 5,741,626).

Tsai, Fiordalice, Miller and Lin are relied upon as discussed above.

However, the cited references do not disclose anti reflective layers made out of silicon and nitride or the use of a second antireflective layer.

Jain et al discloses the use of silicon nitride as an antireflective layer (column 1, lines 35-40) and discloses the use of a second antireflective layer (column 6, lines 60-65 and column 9, lines 30-40).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make an anti reflective layer out of silicon nitride and use a second antireflective layer in a method as disclosed by Tsai et al, Fiordalice, Miller and Lin for its known benefit as a barrier layer. As silicon nitride is a known material for making anti reflective layer, and the use of two anti reflective layers is known in the art as disclosed by the Jain et al reference, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is established.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,431

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AGG July 18, 2004

ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 2812 ale glifa

Page 6